

Recommended readings

- Baum, D. A. and A. Larson. 1991. Adaptation reviewed: A phylogenetic methodology for studying character macroevolution. *Systematic Zoology* 40:1-18.
- Baum, D. A. and M. J. Donoghue. 1995. Choosing between alternative phylogenetic species concepts. *Systematic Botany* 20:560-573.
- Baum, D. A. and M. J. Donoghue. 2002. Transference of Function, heterotopy, and the evolution of plant development. Pages 52-69 In Q. C. B. Cronk, R. M. Bateman, and J. A. Hawkins, (eds.) *Developmental Genetics and Plant Evolution*, Taylor and Francis, London.
- Davis, J. I., and K. C. Nixon. 1992. Populations, genetic variation, and the delimitation of phylogenetic species. *Systematic Biology* 41:421-435.
- de Queiroz, K. 2005. Different species problems and their resolution. *Bioessays* 27:1263-1269.
- Ereshefsky, M. 1992. Eliminative pluralism. *Philosophy of Science* 59: 671-690.
- Faith, D. P. 2002. Quantifying diversity: A phylogenetic perspective. *Conservation Biology* 16(1):248-252.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. *American Naturalist* 125(1): 1-15.
- Ghiselin, M. 1974. Radical solution to the species problem. *Systematic Zoology* 23: 536-544
- Gould, S. J. and E. S. Vrba. 1982. Exaptation: a missing term in the science of form. *Paleobiology* 8:4-15.
- Grantham, T. A. 1995. Hierarchical approaches to macroevolution: Recent work on species selection and the "effect hypothesis." *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 26:301-321.
- Greene, H. W. 1986. Diet and Arboreality in the emerald monitor, *Varanus prasinus*, with comments on the study of adaptation. *Fieldiana Zool.* N. Ser. 31:1-12.
- Hall, B. K. (2003) Descent with modification: the unity underlying homology and homoplasy as seen through an analysis of development and evolution. *Biological Reviews* 78(3): 409-433.
- Hennig, W. (1976) *Phylogenetic Systematics*. Univ. Illinois Press, Champaign-Urbana. (Chap. 1)
- Kluge, A. G. (2005) *Zoologica Scripta* 34(6): 653-663.
- Mallet J. (2008) Hybridization, ecological races and the nature of species: empirical evidence for the ease of speciation. *Philosophical Transactions Of The Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 363(1506): 2971-2986.
- Mindell DP, Meyer A. 2001. Homology evolving. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 16(8):434-440.
- Nixon, K. C., and Q. D. Wheeler. 1990. An amplification of the phylogenetic species concept. *Cladistics-the International Journal of the Willi Hennig Society* 6:211-223.
- O'Hara, Robert J. 1997. Population thinking and tree thinking in systematics. *Zoologica Scripta*, 26(4): 323–32
- Orzack S. H., Sober E. 1994. Optimality models and the test of adaptationism. *American Naturalist* 143(3):361-380.
- Patterson, C. 1982. Morphological characters and homology. Pp. 21-74 in K. A. Joysey and A. E. Friday (eds.) *Problems of Phylogenetic Reconstruction*. Academic Press, New York.
- Pigliucci, M. 2003. Species as family resemblance concepts: the (dis-)solution of the species problem? *Bioessays* 25:596-602.
- Pigliucci M. 2008. Is evolvability evolvable? *Nature Reviews Genetics* 9(1):75-82.
- Ree, R. H. Detecting the historical signature of key innovations using stochastic models of character evolution and cladogenesis. *Evolution* 59(2): 257-265

- Slowinski J. B, and Guyer C. 1993. Testing whether certain traits have caused amplified diversification - an improved method based on a model of random speciation and extinction. *American Naturalist*. 142(6):1019-1024.
- Vane-Wright, R. I., C. J. Humphries, and P. H. Williams. 1991. What to protect? Systematics and the agony of choice. *Biological Conservation* 55:235-254.
- Vrba, E. S. and S. J. Gould. 1986. The hierarchical expansion of sorting and selection: sorting and selection cannot be equated. *Paleobiology* 12:217-228.
- Wagner, G. P. 1989. The origin of morphological characters and the biological basis of homology. *Evolution* 43:1157-1171.
- Wagner, G. P. and Altenberg L. 1996. Complex adaptations and the evolution of evolvability. *Evolution* 50(3): 967-976
- West-Eberhard, M. J. 1986. Alternative adaptations, speciation and phylogeny (a review). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 83:1388-1392.
- Westoby M., Leishman M. R., Lord J.M. 1995. On misinterpreting the phylogenetic correction. *Journal Of Ecology* 83(3): 531-534

Accessory readings

- Alberch, P. 1989. The logic of monsters: evidence for internal constraint in development and evolution. *Geobios mémoire spécial n°* 12:21-57.
- Baum, D. A. 1998. Individuality and the existence of species through time. *Systematic Biology* 47:641-653.
- Baum, D. A. and K. L. Shaw. 1995. Genealogical perspectives on the species problem. Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden 53:289-303.
- Baum, D. A. and M. J. Donoghue. 2001. A likelihood framework for the study of adaptation. Pages 24-44 In: S. Orzack and E. Sober (eds.), *Adaptationism and Optimality*, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Bolker, J. A. and R. A. Raff. 1996. Developmental genetics and traditional homology. *BioEssays* 18:489-494.
- de Queiroz, K. 1985. The ontogenetic method for determining character polarity and its relevance to phylogenetic systematics. *Systematic Zoology* 34:280-299.
- de Queiroz, K. 1999. The general lineage concept of species and the defining properties of the species category. Pp. 49-89 in R. A. Wilson (eds.) *Species: New Interdisciplinary Essays*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- de Quieroz, K. 1997a. The Linnaean hierarchy and the evolutionization of taxonomy, with emphasis on the problem of nomenclature. *Aliso* 15:125-144
- de Queiroz, K. 1998. The general lineage concept of species, species criteria, and the process of speciation: A conceptual unification and terminological recommendations. Pages 57-75 in *Endless Forms: Species and speciation* (D. J. Howard, and S. H. Berlocher, eds.). Oxford University Press, New York.
- de Queiroz, K. 2007. Species concepts and species delimitation. *Systematic Biology* 56:879-886.
- de Quieroz, K. and J. Gauthier 1992. Phylogenetic taxonomy. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 23:449-480
- Galis, F. 2001. Key innovations and radiations. Pp. 581-605 in G. P. Wagner (ed.) *The Character Concept in Evolutionary Biology*. Academic Press, San Diego.

- Gould, S. J. 2001. The interrelationship of speciation and punctuated equilibrium. Pp. 196-217 in J. B. C. Jackson, S. Lidgard and F. K. McKinney (eds.) *Evolutionary Patterns: Growth, Form and Tempo in the Fossil Record*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Gould, S. J. and R. C. Lewontin. 1979. Spandrels of San-Marco and the panglossian paradigm - a critique of the adaptationist program. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* 205: 581-598.
- Haszprunar, G. 1992. The types of homology and their significance for evolutionary biology and phylogenetics. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 5:13-24.
- Mayr, E. 1982. *The Growth of Biological Thought*. Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge. (Pp. 614-616; 233-235)
- Mayr, E. 1987. The ontological status of species: scientific progress and philosophical terminology. *Biology and Philosophy* 2:145-166.
- Miller, A. H. 1949. Some ecologic and morphologic considerations in the evolution of higher taxonomic categories. Pp. 84-88 in E. Mayr and E. Schuz (eds.) *Ornithologie als Biologische Wissenschaft*. Carl Winter/Universitätsverlag, Heidelberg.
- Mishler BD, Donoghue MJ. 1982. Species concepts - A case for pluralism. *Systematic Zoology* 31: 491-503
- Müller, G. B. and G. P. Wagner. 1991. Novelty in evolution: restructuring the concept. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 22:229-256.
- Newman, S. A. and G. B. Müller. 2000. Epigenetic mechanisms of character origination. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 288:304-317.
- O'Hara, Robert J. 1988. Homage to Clio, or, toward an historical philosophy for evolutionary biology. *Systematic Zoology*, 37(2): 142–155
- Paterson, H. E. H. 1981. The continuing search for the unknown and unknowable: a critique of contemporary ideas on speciation. *South African Journal of Science* 77:113-119.
- Van Valen, L. 1973. A new evolutionary law. *Evolutionary Theory* 1:1-30.
- Van Valen, L. 1976. Ecological species, multispecies, and oaks. *Taxon* 25: 233-239.
- Wagner, G. P. 1988. The significance of developmental constraints for phenotypic evolution by natural selection. Pp. 222-229 in G. de Jong (ed.) *Population Genetics and Evolution*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Wagner, G. P. and B. Y. Misof. 1993. How can a character be developmentally constrained despite variation in developmental pathways? *J. Evol. Biol.* 6:449-455.
- Wiley, E. O. 1981. *Phylogenetics*. Wiley & sons, New York. (Pp. 24-34)