

# Darwin's Abominable Mystery

## ... origin of angiosperms ...

*Read Saquet et al. 2017. The ancestral flower of angiosperms and its early diversification. Nature Communications*

# Great Mysteries to Zoologists

## Rise of the birds from a dinosaur lineage

*Archaeopteryx*

# Great Mysteries to Zoologists

## Demise of the non-avian dinosaur lineage

*Edmontosaurus*

# Great Mysteries to Zoologists


## Adaptive radiation of mammals after dinosaurs

*Eocene in Kansas*

TERT.	JURASSIC	CRETACEOUS	TERTIARY				QUAT.												
			PALAEOC.	Eocene	Oligocene	MIOCENE	PLIO.	PLEIST.	REC.										

# Great Mysteries to Botanists

*Origin of land plants*




# Greatest Mystery to Botanists


*Origin and rise of angiosperms*




# Angiosperm Dominance




# Angiosperm Dominance



Angiosperm Dominance



Angiosperm Dominance






Angiosperm Dominance



Gymnosperm Dominance






## Fossil Record


as seen by Charles Darwin

- by mid-Cretaceous, angiosperms also dominate the face of the earth (based on fossil diversity)



## the Abominable Mystery


*“The rapid development, as far as we can judge, of all the higher plants within recent geological time is an abominable mystery”*



(Darwin, 1879, in a letter to Hooker)

Joseph Dalton Hooker

Director of the Kew Royal Botanic Garden and good friend of Darwin (the only acknowledged person in the “*Origin of Species*”)



## the Abominable Mystery

(page 3, letter of 22 July 1879)


- Continues with speculations on how to answer the mystery

- originated in alpine conditions
- originated in isolated tropical island
- arose in response to rise of ‘flower-frequenter insects’

*to Mr. Hooker, 22 July 1879. I have been thinking of the beginning of the world for some time, and have been wondering what the first plants were, and how they came to be so numerous. I have just read Baker's paper on the origin of the higher plants, and I am quite sure that the origin of the higher plants is an abominable mystery. I believe it is a great thing if we could know the origin of the higher plants, but I do not know how to do it. I believe it is a great thing if we could know the origin of the higher plants, but I do not know how to do it.*

## the 2019 Questions


1. When did the Angiosperms arise?
2. What were the first Angiosperms?
3. Where did the Angiosperm arise?
4. From what Gymnosperm clade did the Angiosperms arise ?
5. Why did they take over the world's flora?



2019 AJB  
volume


## the 2018 Evidence

1. Modern fossil record
2. Geographical distributions
3. Morphological phylogenetics
4. Evo-devo studies of flowers
5. Molecular phylogenetics
6. Molecular “clocks”



## Pollen Record

- ubiquitous - preserves well due to exine layer
- often diagnostic to specific gymnosperm or angiosperm groups



## Pollen Record


- ubiquitous - preserves well due to exine layer
- often diagnostic to specific gymnosperm or angiosperm groups
- but different levels of production and fossilization



- little *Senecio* pollen in tropics
- abundant pine pollen in lake sediments

## Pollen Record

- gymnosperm vs. early angiosperm pollen differentiation often requires TEM vs. SEM visualization - both one pore



## Pollen Record




Figure 1 Time distribution and presumed relationships of principal Early Cretaceous and Cenomanian angiosperm pollen types (e-p), and selected pre-Cretaceous pollen types (a-d). a: *Eucommilidites*; b: Triassic reticulate-columellar monosulcate of Cornet (O); c: cycad-type alveolar monosulcate; d: saccate alveolar pollen of Caytoniaceae and Corytospermaeae; e: *Clavatipollenites*; f: *Retimonocolpites*; g: *Stellatopollis*; h: *Liliaculites*, a possible monocot; i: reticulate tricolpate; j: striate tricolpate; k: smooth tricolpate; l: grain with tricolpate tendency; m: tricolpodorate; n: polyporate; o: smooth, oblate-triangular tricolpate; p: early member of triporate *Normapollis* complex.



- all pre-Cretaceous pollen = gymnosperm
- Neocomian (130 mya) = oldest angiosperm single pored pollen (basal angiosperms)
- Barr.-Aptian (125 mya) = oldest tricolpate pollen (eudicots)

## Pollen Record




Figure 1 Time distribution and presumed relationships of principal Early Cretaceous and Cenomanian angiosperm pollen types (e-p), and selected pre-Cretaceous pollen types (a-d). a: *Eucommilidites*; b: Triassic reticulate-columellar monosulcate of Cornet (O); c: cycad-type alveolar monosulcate; d: saccate alveolar pollen of Caytoniaceae and Corytospermaeae; e: *Clavatipollenites*; f: *Retimonocolpites*; g: *Stellatopollis*; h: *Liliaculites*, a possible monocot; i: reticulate tricolpate; j: striate tricolpate; k: smooth tricolpate; l: grain with tricolpate tendency; m: tricolpodorate; n: polyporate; o: smooth, oblate-triangular tricolpate; p: early member of triporate *Normapollis* complex.

Albian (110 mya) diversity

- magnoliids
- monocots
- cordate-leaved eudicots
- aments - wind pollinated


Upper Cretaceous (100 mya) - angiosperm pollen dominates

## Pollen Record

- pollen diversification continues through Upper Cretaceous into Tertiary



- family Asteraceae first seen in mid Eocene: 47 mya



- genus *Fuchsia* (Onagraceae) first seen 30 mya in Oligocene

## Leaf Record

- consistent trends emerge with leaf fossils




Figure 2 Principal Early Cretaceous and Cenomanian angiosperm leaf types. a: small, pinnately veined leaf of *Vakhrasmev* (14); b: *retiform*; c: serrate; d: oblanceolate; e: *Pisciphyllum*; f: *Asiatiphyllum*, a possible monocot; g: lobate *retiform*; h: pedate, actinodromous; i: ovate cordate; j: pinnatifid *Sapindopsis*; k: early *Platanoid*; l: compound *Sapindopsis*; m: later *Platanoid*, with rigidly organized line venation; n: *Liriodaphnium*; o: dichotomously compound; p: secondarily simple *Platanoid* derivative.

- Neocomian (130 mya) *Rogersia* (basal angiosperm) simple, pinnately veined, entire



# Leaf Record

• consistent trends emerge with leaf fossils





Figure 2 Principal Early Cretaceous and Cenomanian angiosperm leaf types. a: small, pinnately veined leaf of *Vakhtramoex* (143); b: reniform; c: serrate; d: oblanceolate; e: *Psophyllum*; f: *Acaciarophyllum*, a possible monocot; g: lobate reniform; h: peltate, actinodromous; i: ovate cordate; j: pinnatifid *Sapindopsis*; k: early platanoid; l: compound *Sapindopsis*; m: later platanoid, with rigidly organized line venation; n: *Liriodaphnium*; a: dichotomously compound; p: secondarily simple platanoid derivative.

• early Aptian (125 mya) *Archaeoфраuctus* (basal angiosperm) palmately compound

• Aptian to Albian (120-110 mya) = magnoliids (pinnate veins), cordates (palmate veins), monocots (parallel veins)

# Leaf Record

• consistent trends emerge with leaf fossils



great leaf diversity within 15my

• early Aptian (125 mya) *Archaeoфраuctus* (basal angiosperm) palmately compound

• Aptian to Albian (120-110 mya) = magnoliids (pinnate veins), cordates (palmate veins), monocots (parallel veins)

# Leaf Record

• consistent trends emerge with leaf fossils




Figure 2 Principal Early Cretaceous and Cenomanian angiosperm leaf types. a: small, pinnately veined leaf of *Vakhtramoex* (143); b: reniform; c: serrate; d: oblanceolate; e: *Psophyllum*; f: *Acaciarophyllum*, a possible monocot; g: lobate reniform; h: peltate, actinodromous; i: ovate cordate; j: pinnatifid *Sapindopsis*; k: early platanoid; l: compound *Sapindopsis*; m: later platanoid, with rigidly organized line venation; n: *Liriodaphnium*; a: dichotomously compound; p: secondarily simple platanoid derivative.

• by Upper Cretaceous (100 mya) a variety of primitive eudicot leaves are seen


*Platanoid* - lobed

*Sapindopsis* - compound



# Leaf Record

• consistent trends emerge with leaf fossils



• by Upper Cretaceous (100 mya) a variety of primitive eudicot leaves are seen



## Flower Record

• the “Magnolia = primitive” idea has biased the way paleobotanists have looked at the fossil record

1. bisexual flower
2. ∞ spirally arranged stamens & carpels
3. ∞ perianth parts
4. cone-like receptacle
5. beetle pollination

• what does the fossil record actually say?



## Flower Record

• large flowered, insect-pollinated flowers are seen (such as these 98-90 mya mid-late Cretaceous fossils) . . .




*Archaeanthus* (Magnoliaceae) – from Kansas 98-95 mya

Magnoliaceae with stingless bee – 90 mya

## Flower Record

• large flowered, insect-pollinated flowers are seen (such as these 95-85 mya late Cretaceous fossils) . . .

. . . but the earliest and most numerous are small, bisexual or unisexual, wind or insect-pollinated



## Flower Record

• what are the earliest fossil flowers?



- 1990 - Leo Hickey
- 120 mya - Australia
  - small, unisexual flowers
  - placed into Piperales (pepper, wild ginger)

## Flower Record

- what are the earliest fossil flowers?

### The World's Oldest Flower

A British scientist claims to have discovered the world's oldest flower in 130 million-year-old clay rocks in the south of England.

Flowering plants or angiosperms, which range from grasses to oaks, reproduce via ovules borne in an enclosed cavity. They have dominated the world's vegetation for the last 65 million years, but paleobotanists still argue over fundamental questions such as which group is the most primitive and from what did they evolve.



**Ancient bloom.** Cretaceous flower imprint in English clay. Inset: computer-enhanced image. Actual size is 7 mm.

Some scientists have suggested that the earliest flowering plants were large, woody, magnoliace shrubs. But the new find, reported by paleobotanist Chris Hill in the February issue of *Cretaceous Research*, bolsters the notion, first suggested by U.S. scientists 6 years ago, that the plants started small.

Hill was prompted to search rock formations called Weald Clay in southern England after reading a report in *Science* (8 February 1990, p. 702) by David Taylor and Leo Hickey of Yale University. Their analysis of angiosperm-like fossil plants from this geologic period, the Cretaceous, in Australia indicated that early flowering plants may have been simple, fragile herbs with small reproductive organs—that is, flowers.

Hill's plant fossil, found at the Smokjacks Brickworks in Surrey, seems to fulfill this prediction. It was a relatively small (25 cm high) herb. Most important, it combines a primitive female anatomy and leaves with more advanced branching and small flowerlike reproductive structures. It probably lived in water (it was found in waterland sediment, and some leaves resemble those of modern aquatic plants). Hill, who has christened his find *Bevhalstia pebjia*, says that its form is quite unlike any other plant from the Early Cretaceous.


David Batton, an expert on Cretaceous pollen at the University of Wales, says the find is "interesting because it forms a continuation of the microfossil record of angiosperm-like plants deeper into the Lower Cretaceous." Pollen believed to be from angiosperms had already been located at this level, but no flowers. Now that Hill has found a flower, the hunt is on for pollen that will clinch its identification.

1996 - Chris Hill

- *Bevhalstia pebjia*
- 130 mya - England
- small, 25cm aquatic herb
- dissected leaves
- *most not convinced it is an angiosperm*

## Flower Record

- what are the earliest fossil flowers?



1998 - David Dilcher & Chinese colleagues


- *Archaeofructus*
- 125 [135 1<sup>st</sup>] mya - China
- small, dissected leaves
- stamens and carpels on long axis

## Flower Record

- what are the earliest fossil flowers?



- morphology phylogenetic analyses place it before *Amborella*




## Flower Record

- what are the earliest fossil flowers?




2015 - David Dilcher & Spanish colleagues

- *Montsechia*
- 125-130 mya - Pyrenes
- aquatic, fruiting




## Flower Record

- what are the earliest fossil flowers?



2018 – Qiang Fu & Chinese colleagues

- *Nanjinganthus*
- 174 mya Jurassic! - China



bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/300000>; this version posted August 1, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

An unexpected noncarpellate epigynous flower from the Jurassic of China

Qiang Fu<sup>1</sup>, Jinqi Bian<sup>2</sup>, Shihong Qian<sup>1</sup>, Miao Pei<sup>1</sup>, Muxun Garcia A. del P.<sup>1</sup>, Zhong-Jian Liu<sup>1</sup>, Hang Chu<sup>1</sup>, Yanhao Hou<sup>1</sup>, Pengfei Ye<sup>1</sup>, Guo-Qiang Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Kelihe Shi<sup>1</sup>, Xin Wang<sup>1</sup>

Figure 11. *Nanjinganthus* fossil flower structure. 1: sepal; 2: petal; 3: stamen; 4: style; 5: stigma; 6: ovary; 7: receptacle; 8: epigynous flower. Scale bar: 100 μm.


## Flower Record

- what are the earliest fossil flowers?



2018 – Qiang Fu & Chinese colleagues

- *Nanjinganthus*
- 174 mya Jurassic! - China
- fierce debate!



Hunting the Snark: the flawed search for mythical Jurassic angiosperms

Richard M. Bateman


Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3DS, U.K.

Figure 11. *Nanjinganthus* fossil flower structure. 1: sepal; 2: petal; 3: stamen; 4: style; 5: stigma; 6: ovary; 7: receptacle; 8: epigynous flower. Scale bar: 100 μm.

## Flower Record

- what did the earliest flower look like based on morphological analyses?


2017 – Herve Sauquet et al.  
(reading!)



## Summary of Angiosperm Evolution

### 1. When did the Angiosperms arise?

- Fossils - after boundary of Jurassic and Cretaceous – 130 mya
- DNA - some molecular clocks suggest >200 mya



## Summary of Angiosperm Evolution


1. When did the Angiosperms arise?

- perhaps older but unseen (in fossil record) radiation of angiosperms
- perhaps older radiation but we can't tell them apart from ancestors (share features of gymnosperms and some but not all of angiosperms)
- perhaps “molecular clock” methods are flawed – not really that old

## Summary of Angiosperm Evolution

2. What were the first Angiosperms?


- “*Magnolia* = primitive” not justified
- *Amborella* and water lilies are first extant taxa to separate



## Summary of Angiosperm Evolution

2. What were the first Angiosperms?

- “*Magnolia* = primitive” not justified
- *Amborella* and water lilies are first extant taxa to separate
- earliest extinct fossils are small, probably aquatic plants



## Summary of Angiosperm Evolution

### 3. Where did the Angiosperms arise?


- Australasia if based on earliest diverging extant families
- earliest (extinct) fossils come from many areas - China, England, Australia (most tropical or subtropical or warm temperate in early Cretaceous)



## Summary of Angiosperm Evolution

### 3. Where did the Angiosperms arise?

- likely in wet margins of gymnosperm dominated forests



## Summary of Angiosperm Evolution

### 4. From what Gymnosperms did they arise?

- no consensus based on extant lineages!



- Gnetales have been the favorites for some time (vessels, double fertilization, broad leaves) . . .

*Gnetum*



*Ephedra*



*Welwitschia*

- but this “Anthophyte” hypothesis is strongly rejected by DNA sequence data!






Fig. 1. Four major hypotheses of relationships among extant seed plant lineages. AN = angiosperms; CY = cycads; GI = *Ginkgo*; GN = Gnetales; PI = Pinaceae; CO = non-Pinaceae conifers.


- 2018 Next Generation Sequence data place ALL living gymnosperms as a monophyletic lineage




- likely that Angiosperms arose from a now extinct Gymnosperm lineage



- likely that Angiosperms arose from a now extinct Gymnosperm lineage such as Bennettitales or other “seed fern” groups



- likely that Angiosperms arose from a now extinct Gymnosperm lineage or the understory Petriellales



## Summary of Angiosperm Evolution

### 5. Why did Angiosperms dominate quickly?

- BIG story! We will deal with it throughout the course
- vessel elements?
- mycorrhizal interactions with fungi?
- the flower as a “key innovation”?
- genome duplication(s)?
- co-evolution with animal pollinators?

